RUBRIC FOR CREATE@STATE 2019, ORAL AND POSTER PRESENTATIONS (Adapted from WSU Showcase Rubric, Washington State University)

ELEMENT	OUTSTANDING (10)	GOOD (9-7)	ACCEPTABLE (6-4)	POOR (3-1)	ABSENT (0)
Student Position The perspective, thesis, hypothesis, idea, or claim which inspired the project.	Specific position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) is imagina- tive and analyzes the com- plexities of the position, syn- thesizes other points of view or disciplinary contexts, and demonstrates a clear under- standing of the project limits.	Specific position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) takes into account the complexities of the project, its underlying assumptions, and identifies other points of view.	Specific position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) is present and addresses the context of the project.	Specific position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) is present, but is simplistic and obvious.	Position is not pre- sent or is unclear.
Novel Contribution/ Innovative Think- ing Novelty or uniqueness of idea, claim, ques- tion, form, etc.	Expands a novel or unique idea, question, format, prod- uct, or approach to generate new knowledge or creative works.	Creates a novel or unique idea, question, format, prod- uct, or approach.	Attempts to create a novel or unique idea, question, format, product, or approach.	Reformulates an idea or col- lection of available ideas or approaches.	Presents already es- tablished infor- mation.
Process/Method <i>Discipline-specific ap- proach to addressing</i> <i>the idea, question, hy- pothesis, or claim.</i>	Skillfully manifests the rela- tionship between process and end product and methods needed to accomplish the end product.	Understands the relationship between process and end product and methods needed to accomplish the end prod- uct.	Recognizes the relationship between process and end product and methods needed to accomplish the end prod- uct.	Misunderstands the relation- ship between process and end product and methods needed to accomplish the end prod- uct.	Lacks knowledge of the relationship be- tween process and end product and methods needed to accomplish the end product.
Conclusion/Out- come/Creative Product <i>A synthesis of key dis-</i> <i>coveries drawn from</i> <i>evidence or the creative</i> <i>process. How results or</i> <i>creations apply to a</i> <i>larger context or the</i> <i>real world.</i>	Outcomes reveal insightful patterns, differences, or simi- larities related to focus. Asser- tions are well supported. Significance of what was dis- covered, learned or created is clear and implications are explored.	Outcomes reveal important patterns, differences, or simi- larities related to focus. Asser- tions are somewhat support- ed. Significance of what was dis- covered, learned, or created is clear.	Outcomes are presented, but the organization is not effec- tive in revealing important patterns, differences, or simi- larities. Assertions lack ade- quate support. Significance of what was dis- covered, learned, or created is unclear.	Presents outcomes, but they are limited and/or are unrelat- ed to focus. Assertions are incorrect or unwarranted. Significance of what was dis- covered, learned, or created is absent.	Project lacks out- comes, support for assertions, and signif- icance of what was discovered, learned, or created.
Presentation/ Display Visual presentation of project materials.	Quality of presentation of materials gives the impression of consistency, professional- ism and an astute attention to detail.	Attention to detail is generally good, but there are frequent minor errors or inconsisten- cies. There are no or very few large problems.	Attention to detail is generally good, but there are frequent minor errors or inconsisten- cies, and occasional large problems. Professionalism is not obvious.	Numerous small and large errors or inconsistencies in presentation. Details are pre- sent, but not sufficient for a professional product.	Presentation is crude, messy, unsophisti- cated, or very incon- sistent.
Presenter Oral presentation of the content of the project.	Actively and effectively en- gages audience; communica- tion indicates extensive knowledge of the project, is articulate and confident, and presents a mature professional demeanor.	Effectively engages with audi- ence, communication indi- cates appropriate knowledge of the project, speaks clearly, and presents a professional demeanor.	Somewhat enthusiastic but struggles to communicate clearly. Communication indi- cates adequate knowledge of the project. Demeanor is ap- propriate.	Is minimally engaged and communicates ineffectively. Communication indicates limited knowledge about the project. Presents an unprofes- sional demeanor.	Is disinterested, non- communicative, in- sufficient knowledge base, unprofessional demeanor, or absent.